

Executive Summary

Case Export Package | Case Harrison-2026-000001 | Version 1

Organization: FICTIONAL DEMO

Overview

FICTIONAL DEMONSTRATION. Harrison Studio disputes the timing, scope and completion of an office refurbishment by Example Works. The immediate preparation task is to separate scheduled installation from early site activity, establish whether additional doorway work was approved, and reconcile the condition and financial records. The available material supports a structured request for further evidence; it does not establish liability or a quantified recoverable loss. This is authored sample analysis, not output from a live AI review.

Key findings and financial context

- Commercial baseline: the 1 June Services Agreement and 3 June Contractor Proposal describe CAD 48,000 of work before tax: flooring CAD 18,000, ceilings CAD 14,000 and other installation CAD 16,000. The Contract Price Allocation workbook reconciles those amounts. The sample agreement is unsigned, so execution and controlling terms still require confirmation.
- Timing issue: the agreement schedules 15 June, while the 13 June Client Start Date Account reports activity on 12 June. The 12 June delivery docket and access record, together with the 17 June project-manager notes, describe delivery or preparatory access. The difference may concern the meaning of 'start' rather than conflicting accounts of the same event. Preserve both dates until clarified.
- Variation issue: the 10 June Draft Variation Proposal requests additional doorway preparation but has no approval or signature. The client account refers to a signed variation that is not supplied. Do not treat the draft as the missing executed document or assume an approved increase in scope or price.
- Condition issue: the 19 June meeting minutes and reception, ceiling and doorway inspection records identify finishing items for review. No acceptance of completion, measured cause assessment or allocation of responsibility is recorded. The 23 June expert instructions identify the observations still needed.
- Financial context: the deposit and progress invoices request CAD 30,000 before tax in total. The account statement leaves CAD 18,000 of the base contract price not represented by those requests; it does not establish an unpaid balance. The separate CAD 1,123 remedial estimate is illustrative and must not be added to an asserted loss without checking scope, duplication, payment and responsibility.

Unresolved issues and limitations

- Document gaps: the 24 June Missing Documents Request and intake memorandum call for the executed agreement, signed variation, full message threads, complete access logs, original photos and payment confirmations. Conclusions about approval, attendance and payment remain provisional until those records are obtained.
- Source limitations: interview notes are unsigned, inspection records do not establish causation, and the five photos are synthetic. A file appearing in the expert-materials folder does not make it an independent expert opinion. The 50-file count describes the selected sample, not completeness of a live matter.

- Verification boundary: sample hashes permit comparison with the supplied bytes. They do not authenticate the underlying statements, historical dates or authorship. There is no trusted timestamp or live verification endpoint for the demonstration certificate.

Recommended next steps

- Matter lead: request the executed agreement and signed variation from the source holders, preserving the covering correspondence and any earlier versions. Record whether an item is unavailable, never created or still being located.
- Case preparer: reconcile the access and delivery records with the project-manager and facilities-coordinator accounts. Keep planned start, delivery, preparatory access and installation as separate chronology events, with each source identified.
- Financial reviewer: match each invoice to its contractual stage, approval and payment evidence. Review the remedial estimate against the base scope and variation before proposing any loss calculation.
- Inspection reviewer: obtain original photographs, measurements and a signed account of observed conditions. Separate the finishing work described in the records from any opinion about cause, contractual responsibility or remediation.
- Before handoff: update the unresolved-issues list, record corrections and missing items, and check the 12 reports against their source files. Preserve the delivered package with the firm's own archive; no readiness for filing or court acceptance is asserted.